arXiv:1611.01068v1 [quant-ph] 3 Nov 2016

Electronic Structure Calculatins and the Ising Machine

Rongxin Xia[1], Teng Bian[1], and Sabre Kais *[1,2,3,4]

[1] *Department of Physics, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN, 47907 USA*
[2] *Department of Chemistry and Birck Nanotechnology Center, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN 47907 USA*
[3] *Qatar Environment and Energy Research Institute, HBKU, Doha, Qatar*
[4] *Santa Fe Institute, 1399 Hyde Park Rd, Santa Fe, NM 87501*

The exact solution of Schrödinger equation for atoms, molecules and extended systems continues to be a "Holy Grail" problem that the entire field has been striving to solve since its inception. Recently, breakthroughs have been made in the development of quantum annealing and coherent Ising machines capable of simulating hundreds of connected spins interacting with an Ising type Hamiltonian. One of the most vital questions pertaining to these new devices is: 'can these machine be used to perform electronic structure calculations?' Here we discuss the general procedure used by these devices and show that there is an exact mapping between the electronic structure Hamiltonian of the Hydrogen molecule and the Ising Hamiltonian.

Determining solutions to the the Schrödinger equation is fundamentally difficult because the dimensionality of the corresponding Hilbert space increases exponentially with the number of particles in the system, which requires a commensurate increase in computational resources. Modern quantum chemistry — faced with difficulties associated with solving Schrödinger equations to an accuracy of ∼1 kcal/mole (known as chemical accuracy) — has largely become an endeavor to find approximate methods. A few products of this effort from the past few decades include: *Ab initio*, Density Functional, Density Matrix, Algebraic, Quantum Monte Carlo and Dimensional Scaling methods[1, 2, 3, 4]. However, all methods which have been devised to date face the unsurmountable challenge of computational resource requirements as the calculation is extended either to higher accuracy or to larger systems. Computational complexity in electronic structure[5, 6] suggests that these restrictions are a result of an inherent difficulty associated with simulating quantum systems.

Electronic structure algorithms developed for quantum computers provide a new promising route to advance the field of electronic structure calculations for large systems[7, 8]. Recently, there has been an attempt at using an adiabatic quantum computing model — as is implemented on the D-Wave machine — to perform electronic structure calculations[9]. The fundamental concept behind the adiabatic quantum computing (AQC) method is to define a problem Hamiltonian, H_P, engineered to have its ground state encode the solution of a corresponding computational problem. The system is then initialized in the ground state of a beginning Hamiltonian, H_B, which is easily solved classically; then the system is allowed to evolve adiabatically as: $H(s) = (1-s)H_B + sH_P$ (where s is a time parameter, $s \in [0,1]$). The adiabatic evolution is governed by the Schrödinger equation for the time-dependent Hamiltonian $H(s(t))$.

The largest scale implementation of AQC to hitherto is by D-Wave Systems[10, 11]. In the case of the D-Wave device, the physical process acting as adiabatic evolution is more broadly called *quantum annealing* (QA). The quantum processors manufactured by D-Wave are essentially a transverse Ising model with tunable local fields and coupling coefficients: $H = \sum_i \Delta_i \sigma_x^i + \sum_i h_i \sigma_z^i + \sum_{i,j} J_{ij} \sigma_z^i \sigma_z^j$, where the parameters Δ_i, h_i and J_{ij} are physically tunable. The qubits (quantum bits) are connected in a specified graph geometry, allowing for the embedding of arbitrary graphs. Zoller and coworker presented a scalable architecture with full connectivity, which can only be implemented with local interactions[12]. The adiabatic evolution is initialized at $H_B = -h \sum_i \sigma_x^i$ and evolves into the problem Hamiltonian: $H_P = \sum_i h_i \sigma_z^i + \sum_{i,j} J_{ij} \sigma_z^i \sigma_z^j$. This equation describes a classical Ising model whose ground state is — in the worst case — NP-complete. Therefore any combinatorial optimization NP-hard problem may be encoded into the parameter assignments, $\{h_i, J_{ij}\}$, of H_P and may exploit the adiabatic evolution under $H(s) = (1-s)H_B + sH_P$ as a method for reaching the

*kais@purdue.edu

ground state of H_P. More recently, an optically-based coherent Ising machine was developed; this machine is capable of finding the ground state of an Ising Hamiltonian that describes a set of hundreds coupled spin-1/2 particles[13, 14, 15]. These challenging NP-hard problems are characterized by an unlikelihood of a solution defined by a polynomial-time algorithm, therefore solutions cannot be easily found using classical numerical algorithms in a reasonable time for large system sizes (N)[13, 14, 15]. These special purpose machines may help in finding the solutions to some of the hardest problems in computing.

The technical scheme for performing electronic structure calculations on such an Ising-type machine can be summarized in the following four steps: First, write down the electronic structure Hamiltonian via the second quantization method in terms of creation and annihilation fermionic operators; Second, use the Jordan-Wigner or the Bravyi-Kitaev transformation to move from fermionic operators to spin operators[16]; Third, Reduce the Spin Hamiltonian — which is a k-local in general — to a 2-local Hamiltonian. Finally, map the 2-local Hamiltonian to an Ising-type Hamiltonian with control errors consistent with chemical accuracy ($\sim 10^{-3}$ Hartree).

Explicitly, this general procedure begins with a second quantization description of a fermionic system in which N single-particle states can be either empty or occupied by a spineless fermionic particle[17, 4]. One may then use the tensor product of individual spin orbitals written as $|f_n...f_0\rangle$ to represent states in fermionic systems, where $f_j \in \{0, 1\}$ is the occupation number of orbital j. Any interaction within the fermionic system can be expressed in terms of products of the creation and annihilation operators $a_j^\dagger$ and a_j, for $j \in \{0, ..., N\}$. Thus, the molecular electronic Hamiltonian can be written as:

$$\hat{H} = \sum_{i,j} h_{ij} a_i^\dagger a_j + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j,k,l} h_{ijkl} a_i^\dagger a_j^\dagger a_k a_l. \tag{1}$$

The above coefficients h_{ij} and h_{ijkl} are one- and two-electron integrals — which can be precomputed in a classical fashion — are an input to the quantum simulation. The next step is to employ a Pauli matrices representation of the creation and annihilation operators. We can then use the Bravyi-Kitaev transformation[16, 18] as mapping between the operator representation and Pauli matrices, $\{\sigma_x, \sigma_y, \sigma_z\}$, which obey fermionic commutation relations. The molecular Hamiltonian takes the general form:

$$H = \sum_{i\alpha} h_\alpha^i \sigma_\alpha^i + \sum_{ij\alpha\beta} h_{\alpha\beta}^{ij} \sigma_\alpha^i \sigma_\beta^j + \sum_{ijk\alpha\beta\gamma} h_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^{ijk} \sigma_\alpha^i \sigma_\beta^j \sigma_\gamma^k + ... \tag{2}$$

.

Now, after having developed a k-local spin Hamiltonian (many-body interactions), one should use Hamiltonian gadget theory[19, 20] to reduce it to 2-local (two-body interactions) spin Hamiltonian; this is a requirement since the proposed experimental systems are typically limited to restricted forms of two-body interactions. Therefore, universal adiabatic quantum computation requires a method for approximating quantum many-body Hamiltonians up to an arbitrary spectral error using at most two-body interactions. Hamiltonian gadgets offer a systematic procedure through which to address this requirement. Recently, Cao et al[21] employed analytical techniques resulting in a reduction of the resource scaling as a function of spectral error for the most commonly used subdivisions, three- to two-body and k-body gadgets.

As an example, herein we present calculations for the hydrogen molecule, H_2. Using the Bravyi-Kitaev transformation, the spin Hamiltonian for molecular hydrogen in the minimal (STO-6G) basis is given by: [18]

$$\begin{aligned} H_{H_2} = {} & f_0 \mathbf{1} + f_1 \sigma_z^0 + f_2 \sigma_z^1 + f_3 \sigma_z^2 + f_1 \sigma_z^0 \sigma_z^1 \\ & + f_4 \sigma_z^0 \sigma_z^2 + f_5 \sigma_z^1 \sigma_z^3 + f_6 \sigma_x^0 \sigma_z^1 \sigma_x^2 + f_6 \sigma_y^0 \sigma_z^1 \sigma_y^2 \\ & + f_7 \sigma_z^0 \sigma_z^1 \sigma_z^2 + f_4 \sigma_z^0 \sigma_z^2 \sigma_z^3 + f_3 \sigma_z^1 \sigma_z^2 \sigma_z^3 \\ & + f_6 \sigma_x^0 \sigma_z^1 \sigma_x^2 \sigma_z^3 + f_6 \sigma_y^0 \sigma_z^1 \sigma_y^2 \sigma_z^3 + f_7 \sigma_z^0 \sigma_z^1 \sigma_z^2 \sigma_z^3. \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

Where — within the above — the parameters $\{f_i\}$ are related to the one- and two-electron integrals for a

fixed bond length of the molecule. As showed in [7], we notice that this Hamiltonian acts on off-diagonal elements for only two qubits 0, 2 and qubits 1 and 3 never flip. We can use this symmetry to reduce the Hamiltonian to the following effective Hamiltonian, acting only on two qubits:

$$\begin{aligned} H_{H_2} &= g_0\mathbf{1} + g_1\sigma_z^0 + g_2\sigma_z^1 + g_3\sigma_z^0\sigma_z^1 + g_4\sigma_x^0\sigma_x^1 + g_4\sigma_y^0\sigma_y^1 \\ &= g_0\mathbf{1} + H_0 \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

$$H_0 = g_1\sigma_z^0 + g_2\sigma_z^1 + g_3\sigma_z^0\sigma_z^1 + g_4\sigma_x^0\sigma_x^1 + g_4\sigma_y^0\sigma_y^1 \tag{5}$$

By squaring the Hamiltonian H_0 and modifying it, one can get a new Ising Hamiltonian:

$$H_1 = H_0^2 + 2g_3H_0 = a_1 + a_2(\sigma_z^0 + \sigma_z^1) + a_3\sigma_z^0\sigma_z^1 \tag{6}$$

With:

$$a_1 = g_1^2 + g_2^2 + g_3^2 + 2g_4^2;\ a_2 = 2(g_1 + g_2)g_3;\ a_3 = 2(g_1g_2 - g_4^2 + g_3^2) \tag{7}$$

We have succeeded to develop an exact mapping between the ground state energy of the hydrogen molecule and the Ising-type Hamiltonian. The ground state can be easily obtained and compared with the exact calculations as shown in Figure (1). We include detailed procedure and proof in the Supplementary Material.



Figure 1: Comparing the numerical results of ground state of the Ising Hamiltonian, Eq.(6) with the exact calculations of the ground state of H_2 molecule

This demonstrates that one can map the electronic ground state energy of a molecular Hamiltonian to an Ising-type Hamiltonian which could easily be implemented on presently available Ising machines. The development of these new Ising machines and the possibility of mapping the electronic structure problem into an Ising-type Hamiltonian may grant efficient ways to obtain exact solutions to the Schrödinger equation; this being one of the most daunting computational problem present in both chemistry and physics.

References

[1] D. R. Herschbach, J. S. Avery, and O. Goscinski. *Dimensional scaling in chemical physics*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.

[2] F. Iachello and R. D. Levine. *Algebraic theory of molecules*. Oxford University Press on Demand, 1995.

[3] S. Kais. *Quantum Information and Computation for Chemistry: Advances in Chemical Physics*, volume 154. Wiley Online Library, 2014.

[4] A. Szabo and N. S. Ostlund. *Modern quantum chemistry: introduction to advanced electronic structure theory*. Courier Corporation, 1989.

[5] J. D. Whitfield, P. J. Love, and A. Aspuru-Guzik. Computational complexity in electronic structure. *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics*, 15(2):397–411, 2013.

[6] N. Schuch and F. Verstraete. Computational complexity of interacting electrons and fundamental limitations of density functional theory. *Nature Physics*, 5(10):732–735, 2009.

[7] P. J. J. O'Malley, R. Babbush, J. Martinis, et al. Scalable quantum simulation of molecular energies. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1512.06860*, 2015.

[8] S. Lloyd. Universal quantum simulators. *Science*, 273(5278):1073, 1996.

[9] R. Babbush, P. J. Love, and A. Aspuru-Guzik. Adiabatic quantum simulation of quantum chemistry. *Scientific reports*, 4, 2014.

[10] S. Boixo, T. F. Rønnowand, M. Troyer, et al. Evidence for quantum annealing with more than one hundred qubits. *Nature Physics*, 10:218–224, 2014.

[11] M. W. Johnson, M. H. S. Amin, P. Bunyk, et al. Quantum annealing with manufactured spins. *Nature*, 473(7346):194–198, 2011.

[12] W. Lechner, P. Hauke, and P. Zoller. A quantum annealing architecture with all-to-all connectivity from local interactions. *Science advances*, 1(9):e1500838, 2015.

[13] P. L. McMahon, A. Marandi, K. Aihara, et al. A fully-programmable 100-spin coherent ising machine with all-to-all connections. *Science*, page aah5178, 2016.

[14] T. Inagaki, Y. Haribara, K. Enbutsu, et al. A coherent ising machine for 2000-node optimization problems. *Science*, page aah4243, 2016.

[15] T. Inagaki, K. Inaba, H. Takesue, et al. Large-scale ising spin network based on degenerate optical parametric oscillators. *Nature Photonics*, 2016.

[16] S. B. Bravyi and A. Y. Kitaev. Fermionic quantum computation. *Annals of Physics*, 298(1):210–226, 2002.

[17] R. McWeeny and B. T. Sutcliffe. *Methods of molecular quantum mechanics*, volume 2. Academic press, 1969.

[18] J. T. Seeley, M. J. Richard, and P. J. Love. The Bravyi-Kitaev transformation for quantum computation of electronic structure. *The Journal of chemical physics*, 137(22):224109, 2012.

[19] J. Kempe, A. Y. Kitaev, and O. Regev. The complexity of the local hamiltonian problem. *SIAM Journal on Computing*, 35(5):1070–1097, 2006.

[20] S. P. Jordan, E. Farhi, and P. W. Shor. Error-correcting codes for adiabatic quantum computation. *Physical Review A*, 74(5):052322, 2006.

[21] Y. Cao, R. Babbush, J. Biamonte, and S. Kais. Hamiltonian gadgets with reduced resource requirements. *Physical Review A*, 91(1):012315, 2015.

Supplementary Material

1. Detailed Procedure

Here we present steps to get the ground state of H_{H_2} by using the new Ising Hamiltonian H_1 (Eq.6).

1. If $|g_1| + |g_2| + |g_4| < |g_3|$ start computing by H_1 and get the result Y. Otherwise increase $|g_3|$ by $|g_1| + |g_2| + |g_4|$ and start computing.

2. Solve equation $x^2 + 2g_3x = Y$ and get σ_x^1 and σ_x^2 ($\sigma_x^1 <= \sigma_x^2$). Add $|g_1| + |g_2| + |g_4|$ to σ_x^1 if added to g_3 before (we just assume $g_3 > 0$.) Compare σ_x^1 with $g_3 - g_1 - g_2$ (or $g_3 + g_1 + g_2$) to get the ground state of H_0. Add g_0 to get the ground state of H_{H_2}.

2. Theoretical Proof

We have four eigenvectors of H_0: $|1,1\rangle$ and $|0,0\rangle$ are the two eigenvectors of H_0 with eigenvalues $-g_1-g_2+g_3$ and $g_1+g_2+g_3$ respectively (easy to verify). If $|\psi_3\rangle = a|0,1\rangle + b|1,0\rangle$ is a eigenvector of H_0 with eigenvalue $C - g_3$ then $|\psi_4\rangle = -b|0,1\rangle + a|1,0\rangle$ is also eigenvector of H_0 with eigenvalue $-C - g_3$. To show this results: Since $\sigma_z^0\sigma_z^1|\psi_1\rangle = -|\psi_1\rangle$ for any a and b, we can just consider $H_0 = g_1\sigma_z^0 + g_2\sigma_z^1 + g_4\sigma_x^0\sigma_x^1 + g_4\sigma_y^0\sigma_y^1$. For $|\psi_3\rangle$ we have:

$$g_1a - g_2a + 2g_4b = Ca;\ -g_1b + g_2b + 2g_4a = Cb \tag{8}$$

By replacing a with $-b$ and b with a we have:

$$-g_1b + g_2b + 2g_4a = (-C)(-b);\ g_1a + g2a + -2g_4a = -Ca \tag{9}$$

Thus $|\psi_4\rangle = -b|0,1\rangle + a|1,0\rangle$ is also eigenvector of H_0 with eigenvalue $-C - g_3$. Now, by changing g_3 we can always make sure we can get the ground eigenvalue of H_0 by using the eigenvalue of H_1. This can be proved as before, the four eigenvalue of H is $g_1 + g_2 + g_3, -g_1 - g_2 + g_3, -g_3 - C$ and $-g_3 + C$. Here we set x_0 to be the eigenvalue of H_0 which corresponds to the ground eigenvalue of H_1. Thus x_0 must make the function $f(x) = x^2 + 2g_3x$ to be the smallest, which means $|x_0 + g_3|$ should be the smallest. Thus when $|-C - g_3 + g_3| < min(|g_1 + g_2 + g_3 + g_3|, |-g_1 - g_2 + g_3 + g_3|$ or $|g_1| + |g_2| + |g_4| < g_3$ (here we just assume $g_3 > 0$), $x_0 = -g_3 - C$ and we can get x_0 by the ground eigenvalue of H_1. when $|g_1| + |g_2| + |g_4| > |g_3|$ we can increase g_3 with Δ to make sure $x_0 = -g_3 - \Delta - C$ and after we get x_0 we can add Δ to get eigenvalue $-g_3 - C$. Finally, by comparing $-g_3 - C$ and $g_3 - g_1 - g_2$ (or $g_3 + g_1 + g_2$) one get the ground eigenvalue of H_0.

3. Electronic structure problem

We treat Hydrogen molecule in a minimal basis STO-6G. Considering spin functions, the four molecular spin orbitals in H_2 is:

$$|\chi_1\rangle = |\phi_g\rangle\,|\alpha\rangle = \frac{|\phi_{1s}\rangle_1 + |\phi_{1s}\rangle_2}{\sqrt{2(1+S)}}\,|\alpha\rangle \tag{10}$$

$$|\chi_2\rangle = |\phi_g\rangle\,|\beta\rangle = \frac{|\phi_{1s}\rangle_1 + |\phi_{1s}\rangle_2}{\sqrt{2(1+S)}}\,|\beta\rangle \tag{11}$$

$$|\chi_3\rangle = |\phi_u\rangle\,|\alpha\rangle = \frac{|\phi_{1s}\rangle_1 - |\phi_{1s}\rangle_2}{\sqrt{2(1-S)}}\,|\alpha\rangle \tag{12}$$

$$|\chi_4\rangle = |\phi_u\rangle\,|\beta\rangle = \frac{|\phi_{1s}\rangle_1 - |\phi_{1s}\rangle_2}{\sqrt{2(1-S)}}\,|\beta\rangle \tag{13}$$

where $|\phi_{1s}\rangle_1$ and $|\phi_{1s}\rangle_2$ are spatial-function for two atoms respectively, and $S = {}_1\langle\phi_{1s}|\phi_{1s}\rangle_2$ where S is the overlap integral.

$$h_{ij} = \int d\vec{r}\chi_i^*(\vec{r})(-\frac{1}{2}\nabla - \frac{Z}{r})\chi_j(\vec{r}) \tag{14}$$

$$h_{ijkl} = \int d\vec{r_1}d\vec{r_2}\chi_i^*(\vec{r_1})\chi_j^*(\vec{r_2})\frac{1}{r_{12}}\chi_k(\vec{r_2})\chi_l(\vec{r_1}) \tag{15}$$

Thus we can write second quantization Hamiltonian of H_2:

$$\begin{aligned} H_{H_2} &= h_{00}a_0^\dagger a_0 + h_{11}a_1^\dagger a_1 + h_{22}a_2^\dagger a_2 + h_{33}a_3^\dagger a_3 + h_{0110}a_0^\dagger a_1^\dagger a_1 a_0 + h_{2332}a_2^\dagger a_3^\dagger a_3 a_2 + h_{0330}a_0^\dagger a_3^\dagger a_3 a_0 \\ &+ h_{1221}a_1^\dagger a_2^\dagger a_2 a_1 + (h_{0220} - h_{0202})a_0^\dagger a_2^\dagger a_2 a_0 + (h_{1331} - h_{1313})a_1^\dagger a_3^\dagger a_3 a_1 \\ &+ h_{0132}(a_0^\dagger a_1^\dagger a_3 a_2 + a_2^\dagger a_3^\dagger a_1 a_0) + h_{0312}(a_0^\dagger a_3^\dagger a_1 a_2 + a_2^\dagger a_1^\dagger a_3 a_0) \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

By using Bravyi-Kitaev transformation, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} &a_0^\dagger = \frac{1}{2}\sigma_x^3\sigma_x^1(\sigma_x^0 - i\sigma_y^0) \quad a_0 = \frac{1}{2}\sigma_x^3\sigma_x^1(\sigma_x^0 + i\sigma_y^0) \quad a_1^\dagger = \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_x^3\sigma_x^1\sigma_z^0 - i\sigma_x^3\sigma_y^1) \quad a_1 = \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_x^3\sigma_x^1\sigma_z^0 + i\sigma_x^3\sigma_y^1) \\ &a_2^\dagger = \frac{1}{2}\sigma_x^3(\sigma_x^2 - i\sigma_y^2)\sigma_z^1 \quad a_2 = \frac{1}{2}\sigma_x^3(\sigma_x^2 + i\sigma_y^2)\sigma_z^1 \quad a_3^\dagger = \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_x^3\sigma_z^2\sigma_z^1 - i\sigma_y^3) \quad a_3 = \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_x^3\sigma_z^2\sigma_z^1 + i\sigma_y^3) \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

Thus, the Hamiltonian of H_2 takes the form:

$$\begin{aligned} H_{H_2} &= f_0\mathbf{1} + f_1\sigma_z^0 + f_2\sigma_z^1 + f_3\sigma_z^2 + f_1\sigma_z^0\sigma_z^1 \\ &+ f_4\sigma_z^0\sigma_z^2 + f_5\sigma_z^1\sigma_z^3 + f_6\sigma_x^0\sigma_z^1\sigma_x^2 + f_6\sigma_y^0\sigma_z^1\sigma_y^2 \\ &+ f_7\sigma_z^0\sigma_z^1\sigma_z^2 + f_4\sigma_z^0\sigma_z^2\sigma_z^3 + f_3\sigma_z^1\sigma_z^2\sigma_z^3 \\ &+ f_6\sigma_x^0\sigma_z^1\sigma_x^2\sigma_z^3 + f_6\sigma_y^0\sigma_z^1\sigma_y^2\sigma_z^3 + f_7\sigma_z^0\sigma_z^1\sigma_z^2\sigma_z^3 \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

We notice that qubits 1,3 never flip. We can use this symmetry to reduce the Hamiltonian to the following form which just acts on only two qubits:

$$H_{H_2} = g_0\mathbf{1} + g_1\sigma_z^0 + g_2\sigma_z^1 + g_3\sigma_z^0\sigma_z^1 + g_4\sigma_x^0\sigma_x^1 + g_4\sigma_y^0\sigma_y^1 \tag{19}$$

$$g_0 = f_0\ g_1 = 2f_1\ g_2 = 2f_3\ g_3 = 2(f_4 + f_7)\ g_4 = 2f_6 \tag{20}$$

Where $\{g_i\}$ depends on the fixed bond length of the molecule. In Table I, we present the numerical values of $\{g_i\}$ in the minimal basis STO-6G.

Table I: Comparing the Exact Ground Energy (a.u.) Eq.(4), and the Simulated Ground Energy (a.u.) Eq.(6) as a function of the intermolecule dustance R (a.u.)

R	g_0	g_1	g_2	g_3	g_4	Exact	Simulated
0.6	1.5943	0.5132	-1.1008	0.6598	0.0809	-0.5703	-0.5703
0.65	1.4193	0.5009	-1.0366	0.6548	0.0813	-0.6877	-0.6877
0.7	1.2668	0.4887	-0.9767	0.6496	0.0818	-0.7817	-0.7817
0.75	1.1329	0.4767	-0.9208	0.6444	0.0824	-0.8575	-0.8575
0.8	1.0144	0.465	-0.8685	0.639	0.0829	-0.9188	-0.9188
0.85	0.909	0.4535	-0.8197	0.6336	0.0835	-0.9685	-0.9685
0.9	0.8146	0.4422	-0.774	0.6282	0.084	-1.0088	-1.0088
0.95	0.7297	0.4313	-0.7312	0.6227	0.0846	-1.0415	-1.0415
1.0	0.6531	0.4207	-0.691	0.6172	0.0852	-1.0678	-1.0678
1.05	0.5836	0.4103	-0.6533	0.6117	0.0859	-1.0889	-1.0889
1.1	0.5204	0.4003	-0.6178	0.6061	0.0865	-1.1056	-1.1056
1.15	0.4626	0.3906	-0.5843	0.6006	0.0872	-1.1186	-1.1186
1.2	0.4098	0.3811	-0.5528	0.5951	0.0879	-1.1285	-1.1285
1.25	0.3613	0.372	-0.523	0.5897	0.0886	-1.1358	-1.1358
1.3	0.3167	0.3631	-0.4949	0.5842	0.0893	-1.1409	-1.1409
1.35	0.2755	0.3546	-0.4683	0.5788	0.09	-1.1441	-1.1441
1.4	0.2376	0.3463	-0.4431	0.5734	0.0907	-1.1457	-1.1457
1.45	0.2024	0.3383	-0.4192	0.5681	0.0915	-1.1459	-1.1459
1.5	0.1699	0.3305	-0.3966	0.5628	0.0922	-1.1450	-1.1450
1.55	0.1397	0.323	-0.3751	0.5575	0.093	-1.1432	-1.1432
1.6	0.1116	0.3157	-0.3548	0.5524	0.0938	-1.1405	-1.1405
1.65	0.0855	0.3087	-0.3354	0.5472	0.0946	-1.1371	-1.1371
1.7	0.0612	0.3018	-0.317	0.5422	0.0954	-1.1332	-1.1332
1.75	0.0385	0.2952	-0.2995	0.5371	0.0962	-1.1287	-1.1287
1.8	0.0173	0.2888	-0.2829	0.5322	0.097	-1.1239	-1.1239
1.85	-0.0023	0.2826	-0.267	0.5273	0.0978	-1.1187	-1.1187
1.9	-0.0208	0.2766	-0.252	0.5225	0.0987	-1.1133	-1.1133
1.95	-0.0381	0.2707	-0.2376	0.5177	0.0995	-1.1077	-1.1077
2.0	-0.0543	0.2651	-0.2238	0.513	0.1004	-1.1019	-1.1019
2.05	-0.0694	0.2596	-0.2108	0.5084	0.1012	-1.0961	-1.0961
2.1	-0.0837	0.2542	-0.1983	0.5039	0.1021	-1.0901	-1.0901
2.15	-0.097	0.249	-0.1863	0.4994	0.103	-1.0842	-1.0842
2.2	-0.1095	0.244	-0.1749	0.495	0.1038	-1.0782	-1.0782
2.25	-0.1213	0.2391	-0.164	0.4906	0.1047	-1.0723	-1.0723
2.3	-0.1323	0.2343	-0.1536	0.4864	0.1056	-1.0664	-1.0664
2.35	-0.1427	0.2297	-0.1436	0.4822	0.1064	-1.0605	-1.0605
2.4	-0.1524	0.2252	-0.1341	0.478	0.1073	-1.0548	-1.0548
2.45	-0.1616	0.2208	-0.125	0.474	0.1082	-1.0492	-1.0492
2.5	-0.1703	0.2165	-0.1162	0.47	0.109	-1.0437	-1.0437
2.55	-0.1784	0.2124	-0.1079	0.466	0.1099	-1.0383	-1.0383
2.6	-0.1861	0.2083	-0.0999	0.4622	0.1108	-1.0331	-1.0331
2.65	-0.1933	0.2044	-0.0922	0.4584	0.1117	-1.0280	-1.0280
2.7	-0.2001	0.2006	-0.0848	0.4547	0.1125	-1.0231	-1.0231
2.75	-0.2064	0.1968	-0.0778	0.451	0.1134	-1.0184	-1.0184
2.8	-0.2125	0.1932	-0.071	0.4475	0.1142	-1.0139	-1.0139
2.85	-0.2182	0.1897	-0.0646	0.4439	0.1151	-1.0095	-1.0095
2.9	-0.2235	0.1862	-0.0584	0.4405	0.1159	-1.0053	-1.0053
2.95	-0.2286	0.1829	-0.0524	0.4371	0.1168	-1.0013	-1.0013
3.0	-0.2333	0.1796	-0.0467	0.4338	0.1176	-0.9974	-0.9974
3.05	-0.2378	0.1764	-0.0413	0.4305	0.1184	-0.9938	-0.9938
3.1	-0.2421	0.1733	-0.036	0.4273	0.1193	-0.9903	-0.9903